

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,196	12/13/1999	Mark H. Sanders	4077-DIV-REI	9700
30031	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL W. HAAS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
RESPIRONIC	S, INC.			
1010 MURRY RIDGE LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MURRYSVILLE, PA 15668		3738		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/473,196	SANDERS, MAR	< Н.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>uly 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		B. C. Al-				
2. Certified copies of the priority document			Ctaga			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Burea		rossived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		O-132)			

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation in each of claim 4 and 7 now require the step of distending frontal portions of a patient's neck in a manner effective for alleviating obstruction of the patient's airway by applying a distending force on such a patient's neck tissue *in a direction generally perpendicular to a surface on which the distending force is applied*.

There is no support in the original specification for the newly added language in which the direction of distention is generally perpendicular to the surface on which the force is applied.

Double Patenting

Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 4. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is

Art Unit: 3738

proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim 7 is essentially identical to claim 4 the only difference is the preamble is directed to a treatment of upper airway disorders. The body of claims 4 and 7 are identical and the method for treatment for sleep apnea of claim 4 is identical to that as set forth for the general treatment of upper airway disorders. The specification fails to provide any other upper airway disorders other than the single disclosed embodiment of sleep apnea. Therefor, since the steps in the body of each of claim 4 and 7 are identical and the specification supports only one treatment, ie. sleep apnea, then the two claims are substantially identical and duplicative.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/473,196

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738 Page 4

DJI August 27, 2004